

Konvent der Promovierenden an der KIT-Fakultät für Physik

Wolfgang-Gaede-Str. 1, Geb. 30.23 76131 Karlsruhe http://www.physik.kit.edu

# Protokoll der Konstituierenden Sitzung/ Protocol of the statutory meeting

30. März 2015

Begin: 15:30, End: 17:05 Location: Lehmann HS

Participants: 20: Julia Link, Mareike Hoyer, Iris Schwenk, Simon Fink, Alexey Vlasov, Tobias Sigle, Genessis Perez, Jurai Streicher, Alexander Wlotzka, Sarah Krämmer, Johannes Bellm, Sarah Müller, Stefan Kolenda, Jens Mohrmann, Arnold Sieler, Alexander

Herbig, Konrad Deetz, Hannah Hoffmann, Robin Roth, Julian Gethmann

Guests/Chair:U. Nienhaus Protocol: J. Gethmann

Chair: R. Roth

#### 1 Formalia

- Ulrich Nienhaus welcomes the audience and names the general purposes of the Konvent.
- Robin Roth and Julian Gethmann present their, attached, version and give an overview of the version send by the KIT president.

### 2 Geschäftsordnung

Because the "Geschäftsordnung" is a legal german document it is in german. In the following the main issues and points of discussion are noted.

# 2.1 $1^{\rm st}$ and $2^{\rm nd}$ paragraph

- No comments on the structure
- The Konvent has only advisory mandat, but no executive one like students have got; Even in the Fakultätsrat (faculty council)
- The majority agrees on the first and second paragraphs

## 2.2 3rd paragraph

• Shall the Vorstand (executive board) consist of discrete positions with individual tasks or just one general board?

- Who is going to the Fakultätsrat in the case of one board? Julian Gethmann and Robin Roth wrote it like the Vorstand decides it itself.
- Because the Konvent didn't exist yet the Vorstand can decide on the work load itself.
  - Three vs five? With five persons it's more representative and one can include minorities easily. And persons who quit within the year can be elected at the next meeting of the Vorstand.

Tabelle 0.1: Size of the Vorstand

Three people Five peope

1 11

Further comment on this paragraph: Reference to "Absatz 1" was wrong and should be fixed.

One Person is joining.

- Shall the chair of the board be fixed or shall even this position be flexible?
- At least one person can be in the board a whole year and therefore it shouldn't be necessary not to fix this position.

Further comments regarding the Vorstand that weren't part of Gethmann's and Roth's proposal and found their way into the Geschäftsordnung without concerns against them.

• The Vorstand shall stick to the opinion of the Konvent.

Another person is joining the meeting.

#### Minorities in the Vorstand

- Minorities: Shall Meteorologists and Geophysicists be in the Vorstand mandatory?
- Ratio: Physics/Meteorology/Geophysics is not known to us

One should try to represent all groups. Sentence in the paragraph concerning the Vorstand. The majority wants the formulation mentioned in the Geschäftsordnung, but only very few want to have a mandatory seat for minorities in the Vorstand.

**Elections** Everybody is fine with this election mode.

There is no clear location where to meet as a Vorstand, so three Vorstand people can meet when they meet.

With Konvents meetings very few days before the Fakultätsrat one can possibly discuss on the topics of the Fakultätsrat, but there is no good formulation that is flexible and strict enough for the voting rights of the Vorstand in the Fakultätsrat. That's why it didn't make it into the Geschäftsordnung.

### 2.3 4th section

Monthly meetings are too frequent. Alternatives mentioned are meeting schedules like the Fakultätsrat or four times a semester. Because of the problem with additional meetings of the Fakultätsrat four meetings a semester are preferred. Also mentioning the Fakultätsrat in the GO doesn't make sense.

• Public vs. KIT-public vs. non-public?

Non public meetings, but we can vote on guests. No discussion about this proposal.

Nevertheless the protocol shall be mailed via email to all PhDs.

Concerns about a list of participants being mentioned in the GO and how far the GO shall restrict the form of protocol.

- For official decisions one can sign on being there, but we don't have to put this modality into our GO, because it is regulated in other legals regulating (Verfahrensordnung des KIT).
- We shouldn't mention the Verfahrensordnung directly because in some points we want to differ explicitly.

After some discussion more people are against an explicit mention of the Anwesenheits-liste in the GO.

## 2.4 5th paragraph

How many people shall be there for a vote? 11 instead of 7 people? With this formulation and 11 people (concerning Meinungsbilder) one can communicate the Meinungsbilder, but not say there was an election, when 7 vote for it. 2/3 voted for "11 people for a decision".

**Secret votes** 1/3 of all participants, like the Präsidium wants it, are very many so one person was proposed. On the other hand one person can annoy the Konvent by asking for secret votes, but normally it works and one should be fine with one person. Therefore no person is for more than one person as a barrier for secret votes.

Votes on person should always be secret.

Clarification concerning the Beschlussfähigkeit on GO changes.

The previous version was handed to Mrs. Pagel (RECHT) who said it is fine not to hand the final version to the Rechtsabteilung (legal office), because it is just a GO and not a Satzung.

No further comments on the Geschäftsordnung.

Vote: The Geschäftsordung has been accepted.

#### 3 Election of the Vorstand (executive board):

Konrad Deetz will be the chair of the board.

Tabelle 0.2: Vote on the appended Geschäftsordnung

pro	contra	abstention from voting
19	0	0

Tabelle 0.3: Election of the executive board

Institute	Candidate	Votes
IMK-TRO	Konrad Deetz	13
ITP	Alexander Wlotzka	12
LAS	Julian Gethmann	16
ITP	Robin Roth	17
TKM/IFP	Maraike Hoyer	18
TKM	Julia Link	11
EKP	Simon Fink	11
Enthaltung		1

## 4 Topics to focus on

Ideas for the Vorstand and the Fakultätsrat

- Generosum-change (most Unis don't do it like KIT-Physics)
  - More focus on the topic with public defense and discussion makes sense
  - One should in general know the content way before and many professors like it this traditional way.

•

Main points of the next meeting. Statistics of Universities and their modalities would be nice. One year can be a time schedule to deal with this topic. You as a PhD student need to look into the Promotionsordnung and the Vorstand will look for statistics.

### 5 Varia:

Next meeting: Doodle before Fakultätsrat (29.4.)